



US009240901B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Hodges et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,240,901 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Jan. 19, 2016**

(54) **METHODS, SYSTEMS, AND PRODUCTS FOR PROVIDING COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES BY DETERMINING THE COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES REQUIRE A SUBCONTRACTED PROCESSING SERVICE AND SUBCONTRACTING TO THE SUBCONTRACTED PROCESSING SERVICE IN ORDER TO PROVIDE THE COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC ... H04L 47/70; H04L 41/5054; H04L 47/822;
H04L 47/724; H04L 47/781; H04L 47/808;
H04L 41/0896; H04L 41/5003; H04L
41/5067; H04L 41/5096; H04L 41/5087;
H04L 41/509; H04L 12/5696
USPC 709/226, 201, 223, 231; 370/216
See application file for complete search history.

(75) Inventors: **Donna K. Hodges**, Cumming, GA (US);
Barrett Morris Kreiner, Norcross, GA
(US); **Steven N. Tischer**, Atlanta, GA
(US)

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,788,721 A * 11/1988 Krishnan et al. 379/221.07
4,873,517 A * 10/1989 Baratz et al. 370/236

(Continued)

(73) Assignee: **AT&T INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
I, L.P.**, Atlanta, GA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 333 days.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 1197837 A2 4/2002
WO WO 00/41426 7/2000

(21) Appl. No.: **13/015,772**

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(22) Filed: **Jan. 28, 2011**

Greene, William, "Sample selection in credit-scoring models", Mar.
31, 1998, Dept. of Econ, Stern School of Business, NYU, Elsevier
Science, Japan and the World Economy, pp. 299-316.

(Continued)

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2011/0125907 A1 May 26, 2011

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 12/357,553, filed on
Jan. 22, 2009, now Pat. No. 7,904,509.

Primary Examiner — Daniel C Murray

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Scott P. Zimmerman, PLLC

(51) **Int. Cl.**

G06F 11/00 (2006.01)

G06F 15/16 (2006.01)

(Continued)

(57)

ABSTRACT


Methods, systems, and products receive a request for com-
munications service at a service provider. A subcontracted
processing service is determined to fulfill the request for
communications service. Packets of data are subcontracted to
a different service provider to receive the subcontracted pro-
cessing service. A result of the subcontracted processing ser-
vice is received from the different service provider.

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **H04L 12/5695** (2013.01); **H04L 41/5054**
(2013.01); **H04L 47/724** (2013.01); **H04L**
47/781 (2013.01); **H04L 47/808** (2013.01);
H04L 47/822 (2013.01);

(Continued)

20 Claims, 14 Drawing Sheets



- (51) **Int. Cl.**
G06F 15/173 (2006.01)
H04L 12/54 (2013.01)
H04L 12/913 (2013.01)
H04L 12/911 (2013.01)
H04L 12/927 (2013.01)
H04L 12/24 (2006.01)
- (52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC *H04L 41/0896* (2013.01); *H04L 41/5003*
(2013.01); *H04L 41/5067* (2013.01); *H04L*
41/5087 (2013.01); *H04L 41/5096* (2013.01)
- (56) **References Cited**
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS
- | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|------------------------------------|
| 5,513,306 A | 4/1996 | Mills et al. | 6,836,465 B2 | 12/2004 | Rajan et al. |
| 5,752,186 A | 5/1998 | Malackowski et al. | 6,836,652 B2 | 12/2004 | Arima et al. |
| 5,761,429 A * | 6/1998 | Thompson 709/224 | 6,856,963 B1 | 2/2005 | Hurwitz |
| 5,771,282 A | 6/1998 | Friedes | 6,901,446 B2 * | 5/2005 | Chellis et al. 709/226 |
| 5,786,770 A * | 7/1998 | Thompson 709/230 | 6,917,628 B2 | 7/2005 | McKinnin et al. |
| 5,790,176 A | 8/1998 | Craig | 6,934,530 B2 | 8/2005 | Engelhart |
| 5,838,913 A * | 11/1998 | Lysejko et al. 709/208 | 6,950,847 B2 * | 9/2005 | Harrisville-Wolff et al. . 709/201 |
| 5,862,325 A * | 1/1999 | Reed et al. 709/201 | 6,957,226 B2 * | 10/2005 | Attias |
| 5,862,471 A | 1/1999 | Tiedemann et al. | 6,961,893 B1 * | 11/2005 | Mukund et al. 714/781 |
| 5,867,652 A * | 2/1999 | Hurvig 709/203 | 6,978,308 B2 | 12/2005 | Boden et al. |
| 5,928,330 A * | 7/1999 | Goetz et al. 709/231 | 6,980,555 B2 | 12/2005 | Mar |
| 5,941,988 A | 8/1999 | Bhagwat et al. | 7,043,225 B1 | 5/2006 | Patel et al. |
| 5,970,121 A | 10/1999 | Homayoun | 7,065,496 B2 | 6/2006 | Subbloie et al. |
| 5,978,780 A | 11/1999 | Watson | 7,075,889 B2 * | 7/2006 | Shiozawa 370/228 |
| 6,002,689 A | 12/1999 | Christie et al. | 7,155,517 B1 | 12/2006 | Koponen |
| 6,012,098 A * | 1/2000 | Bayeh et al. 709/246 | 7,184,548 B2 | 2/2007 | Wee et al. |
| 6,016,307 A * | 1/2000 | Kaplan et al. 370/238 | 7,191,232 B2 | 3/2007 | Rigori et al. |
| 6,018,359 A | 1/2000 | Kermode et al. | 7,191,241 B2 | 3/2007 | Boucher et al. |
| 6,021,464 A * | 2/2000 | Yao et al. 711/114 | 7,249,055 B1 | 7/2007 | Elder |
| 6,032,190 A | 2/2000 | Bremer et al. | 7,289,489 B1 | 10/2007 | Kung et al. |
| 6,058,301 A | 5/2000 | Daniels | 7,334,039 B1 | 2/2008 | Majkut et al. |
| 6,088,717 A * | 7/2000 | Reed et al. 709/201 | 7,343,416 B2 | 3/2008 | Hodges et al. |
| 6,098,108 A * | 8/2000 | Sridhar et al. 709/239 | 7,463,648 B1 * | 12/2008 | Eppstein et al. 370/468 |
| 6,104,718 A | 8/2000 | Christie | 7,464,165 B2 * | 12/2008 | Vo et al. 709/226 |
| 6,112,243 A * | 8/2000 | Downs et al. 709/226 | 7,467,219 B2 * | 12/2008 | Hodges et al. 709/231 |
| 6,148,290 A * | 11/2000 | Dan et al. 705/304 | 7,509,373 B2 * | 3/2009 | Hodges et al. 709/203 |
| 6,178,170 B1 | 1/2001 | Duree | 7,512,630 B2 * | 3/2009 | Kling et al. |
| 6,226,291 B1 | 5/2001 | Chauvel et al. | 7,519,657 B2 * | 4/2009 | Hodges et al. 709/203 |
| 6,236,642 B1 * | 5/2001 | Shaffer et al. 370/237 | 7,577,582 B1 | 8/2009 | Ojha et al. |
| 6,246,683 B1 * | 6/2001 | Connery et al. 370/392 | 7,693,741 B2 * | 4/2010 | Tischer et al. 705/7.11 |
| 6,249,836 B1 * | 6/2001 | Downs et al. 710/268 | 7,818,010 B2 * | 10/2010 | Matteo et al. 455/450 |
| 6,263,358 B1 | 7/2001 | Lee et al. | 7,904,509 B2 * | 3/2011 | Hodges et al. 709/203 |
| 6,272,343 B1 | 8/2001 | Pon et al. | 8,031,598 B2 * | 10/2011 | Beshai et al. 370/230.1 |
| 6,278,446 B1 | 8/2001 | Liou et al. | 8,266,327 B2 * | 9/2012 | Kumar et al. 709/250 |
| 6,285,871 B1 | 9/2001 | Daniels | 8,429,049 B2 * | 4/2013 | Smith 705/35 |
| 6,363,434 B1 * | 3/2002 | Eytchison 719/313 | 8,478,957 B2 * | 7/2013 | Peters et al. 711/162 |
| 6,374,336 B1 * | 4/2002 | Peters et al. 711/167 | 8,526,298 B2 * | 9/2013 | Gan et al. 370/216 |
| 6,385,198 B1 | 5/2002 | Ofek et al. | 8,538,843 B2 * | 9/2013 | Smith 705/35 |
| 6,405,257 B1 * | 6/2002 | Gersht et al. 709/235 | 8,606,929 B2 * | 12/2013 | Hodges et al. 709/227 |
| 6,415,373 B1 * | 7/2002 | Peters et al. 711/167 | 8,792,555 B2 * | 7/2014 | Walker et al. 375/240.16 |
| 6,421,714 B1 | 7/2002 | Rai et al. | 8,843,632 B2 * | 9/2014 | Mercuri 709/226 |
| 6,442,518 B1 | 8/2002 | Van Thong et al. | 2001/0005358 A1 * | 6/2001 | Shiozawa 370/228 |
| 6,456,594 B1 | 9/2002 | Kaplan | 2001/0014210 A1 | 8/2001 | Kang |
| 6,487,600 B1 | 11/2002 | Lynch | 2001/0029544 A1 | 10/2001 | Cousins |
| 6,516,194 B2 | 2/2003 | Hanson | 2001/0056504 A1 | 12/2001 | Kuznetsov |
| 6,519,627 B1 * | 2/2003 | Dan et al. 709/203 | 2002/0021669 A1 * | 2/2002 | Kunito et al. 370/235 |
| 6,519,693 B1 | 2/2003 | Debey | 2002/0058532 A1 | 5/2002 | Snelgrove et al. |
| 6,522,883 B2 | 2/2003 | Titmuss et al. | 2002/0059427 A1 * | 5/2002 | Tamaki et al. 709/226 |
| 6,535,592 B1 | 3/2003 | Snelgrove | 2002/0073182 A1 | 6/2002 | Zakurdaev et al. |
| 6,567,375 B2 | 5/2003 | Balachandran et al. | 2002/0078130 A1 | 6/2002 | Thornton et al. |
| 6,571,016 B1 | 5/2003 | Mehrotra et al. | 2002/0083193 A1 * | 6/2002 | Terefenko 709/238 |
| 6,606,502 B1 | 8/2003 | Chung Kam Chung et al. | 2002/0087574 A1 | 7/2002 | Walsh et al. |
| 6,621,801 B1 | 9/2003 | Wright et al. | 2002/0087674 A1 | 7/2002 | Guilford |
| 6,697,806 B1 | 2/2004 | Cook | 2002/0106019 A1 | 8/2002 | Chaddha et al. |
| 6,710,785 B1 | 3/2004 | Asai et al. | 2002/0112060 A1 | 8/2002 | Kato |
| 6,728,267 B1 | 4/2004 | Giese et al. | 2002/0120744 A1 * | 8/2002 | Chellis et al. 709/226 |
| 6,738,815 B1 | 5/2004 | Willis et al. | 2002/0128948 A1 | 9/2002 | Wieschuegel et al. |
| 6,760,808 B2 * | 7/2004 | Peters et al. 711/114 | 2002/0138398 A1 | 9/2002 | Kalin et al. |
| 6,772,413 B2 | 8/2004 | Kuznetsov | 2002/0138418 A1 | 9/2002 | Zarin et al. |
| 6,789,126 B1 | 9/2004 | Saulpaugh et al. | 2002/0143621 A1 | 10/2002 | Donnelly et al. |
| | | | 2002/0146102 A1 | 10/2002 | Lang |
| | | | 2002/0161908 A1 | 10/2002 | Benitez et al. |
| | | | 2002/0164018 A1 | 11/2002 | Wee et al. |
| | | | 2002/0176378 A1 | 11/2002 | Hamilton |
| | | | 2003/0004855 A1 | 1/2003 | Dutta et al. |
| | | | 2003/0005103 A1 | 1/2003 | Narad et al. |
| | | | 2003/0005154 A1 * | 1/2003 | Thurman 709/239 |
| | | | 2003/0005155 A1 * | 1/2003 | Carbonell et al. 709/239 |
| | | | 2003/0012136 A1 | 1/2003 | Walles |
| | | | 2003/0012376 A1 | 1/2003 | Wee et al. |
| | | | 2003/0033238 A1 | 2/2003 | Oskielunas et al. |
| | | | 2003/0035072 A1 * | 2/2003 | Hagg 348/729 |
| | | | 2003/0043815 A1 | 3/2003 | Tinsley et al. |
| | | | 2003/0051054 A1 | 3/2003 | Redlich et al. |
| | | | 2003/0055723 A1 | 3/2003 | English |
| | | | 2003/0069981 A1 * | 4/2003 | Trovato 709/228 |
| | | | 2003/0088778 A1 | 5/2003 | Lindqvist et al. |
| | | | 2003/0093550 A1 | 5/2003 | Lebizay et al. |
| | | | 2003/0093790 A1 | 5/2003 | Logan et al. |

US 9,240,901 B2

Page 3

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2003/0097462	A1	5/2003	Parent et al.	
2003/0100315	A1	5/2003	Rankin	
2003/0105726	A1	6/2003	Itoh et al.	
2003/0126610	A1	7/2003	Ando	
2003/0128454	A1 *	7/2003	Basham et al.	360/69
2003/0143978	A1	7/2003	Cooper et al.	
2003/0156543	A1	8/2003	Sahinoglu et al.	
2003/0200336	A1	10/2003	Pal et al.	
2003/0211856	A1	11/2003	Zilliacus	
2003/0225677	A1	12/2003	Sandholm et al.	
2003/0233332	A1	12/2003	Keeler et al.	
2004/0008778	A1	1/2004	Yang et al.	
2004/0019900	A1	1/2004	Knightbridge et al.	
2004/0028049	A1	2/2004	Wan	
2004/0030681	A1	2/2004	Shannon et al.	
2004/0034870	A1 *	2/2004	O'Brien et al.	725/88
2004/0073701	A1	4/2004	Huang et al.	
2004/0132449	A1	7/2004	Kowarsch	
2004/0139208	A1	7/2004	Tuli	
2004/0151382	A1	8/2004	Stellenberg et al.	
2004/0160932	A1	8/2004	Yegoshin	
2004/0205013	A1	10/2004	DeLaCruz	
2004/0218895	A1	11/2004	Samadani et al.	
2004/0220965	A1	11/2004	Harville et al.	
2004/0221053	A1	11/2004	Codella et al.	
2004/0249927	A1	12/2004	Pezutti	
2004/0252681	A1	12/2004	Rabipour et al.	
2004/0267686	A1	12/2004	Chayes et al.	
2005/0025124	A1	2/2005	Mitsumori et al.	
2005/0038637	A1	2/2005	Balakrishnan	
2005/0060420	A1	3/2005	Kovacevic	

2005/0066056	A1 *	3/2005	Dominic	709/245
2005/0094725	A1	5/2005	Hui	
2005/0102135	A1	5/2005	Goronzy et al.	
2005/0114439	A1 *	5/2005	Hodges et al.	709/203
2005/0183127	A1	8/2005	Ngo et al.	
2006/0031515	A1	2/2006	VanGassel et al.	
2006/0041679	A1	2/2006	Feig	
2006/0058010	A1	3/2006	Williams et al.	
2006/0111079	A1 *	5/2006	Tischer et al.	455/406
2006/0123102	A1 *	6/2006	Vo et al.	709/223
2006/0206619	A1 *	9/2006	Dan et al.	709/233
2006/0270413	A1 *	11/2006	Matteo et al.	455/450
2007/0005801	A1 *	1/2007	Kumar et al.	709/238
2007/0112948	A1	5/2007	Uhlik	
2007/0192862	A1	8/2007	Vermeulen et al.	
2007/0203844	A1	8/2007	Kuhn et al.	
2007/0226118	A1	9/2007	Gill	
2007/0299771	A1	12/2007	Brody et al.	
2008/0177830	A1 *	7/2008	Vo et al.	709/203
2008/0189429	A1 *	8/2008	DaCosta	709/231
2009/0100191	A1 *	4/2009	Hodges et al.	709/231
2009/0132325	A1 *	5/2009	Hodges et al.	705/8
2011/0191462	A1 *	8/2011	Smith	709/224
2012/0089734	A1 *	4/2012	Mercuri	709/226
2012/0137004	A1 *	5/2012	Smith	709/226
2013/0315056	A1 *	11/2013	Gan et al.	370/225
2014/0189099	A1 *	7/2014	Hurst et al.	709/224
2014/0201373	A1 *	7/2014	Smith	709/226

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

O'Connell, Brian, "Around the World With Global Network", Oct. 1993, DEC Professional, v12, n10, p3. 8(6).

* cited by examiner

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13





FIG. 14



1

**METHODS, SYSTEMS, AND PRODUCTS FOR
PROVIDING COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES
BY DETERMINING THE COMMUNICATIONS
SERVICES REQUIRE A SUBCONTRACTED
PROCESSING SERVICE AND
SUBCONTRACTING TO THE
SUBCONTRACTED PROCESSING SERVICE
IN ORDER TO PROVIDE THE
COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES**

**CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED
APPLICATIONS**

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 12/357,553, filed Jan. 22, 2009, and now issued as U.S. Pat. No. 7,904,509, which is itself a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 10/720,800, filed Nov. 24, 2003, now issued as U.S. Pat. No. 7,509,373, and with both applications incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

NOTICE OF COPYRIGHT PROTECTION

A portion of the disclosure of this patent document and its figures contain material subject to copyright protection. The copyright owner has no objection to the facsimile reproduction by anyone of the patent document or the patent disclosure, but otherwise reserves all copyrights whatsoever.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

This invention generally relates to computers and to communications and, more particularly, to processing data in a communications network.

2. Description of the Related Art

Manipulation of electronic data is important in computer networking and in communications. Often times electronic data must be manipulated for presentation at a client communications device. That is, the electronic data must be changed, formatted, or altered to suit the display characteristics, processing abilities, and/or storage capabilities of the client communications device. A handheld personal data assistant, for example, often requires that bandwidth-intensive electronic data, such as on-demand video, be scaled, color corrected, and/or otherwise manipulated for optimum presentation on the small display of the handheld personal data assistant. Heretofore, however, manipulation of electronic data was usually the responsibility of the client communications device—that is, the client communications device stores and utilizes one or more computer programs to manipulate incoming electronic data for presentation on the client communications device.

Locally manipulating electronic data, however, poses problems. As the electronic data is received, the client communications device must store the electronic data, process/manipulate the electronic data, and present the manipulated electronic data to the user. This process of storing, manipulating, and/or presenting the electronic data, however, often taxes the limited processing and storage capabilities of the client communications device. As both those skilled and unskilled recognize, when the processing and/or storage capabilities of the client communications device are overwhelmed, the audio/video presentation may “stumble” and degrade the user experience. Sometimes the client communications device even experiences a fault or failure when the processing and/or storage capabilities are overwhelmed. There is, accordingly, a need in the art for methods and

2

systems of manipulating electronic data that reduce the need for locally-stored computer programs and that reduce the need for local processing requirements.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The aforementioned problems, and other problems, are reduced by a Analysis Module. This Analysis Module comprises methods, computer systems, computer programs, and computer program products that provide communications services to subscribers. Each time a subscriber requests communications service, the Analysis Module on-demand, dynamically, and in real-time, assesses the subscriber’s communications needs and the real-time state of one or more communications networks. The Analysis Module then ascertains the best or preferred scenario for providing that communications service. The subscriber might even have a Service Level Agreement, so this invention ascertains how to utilize the available network routing and bandwidth to satisfy the Service Level Agreement. This invention ascertains the best or preferred scenario for segmentation of data, how that segmented data should be processed, and how the segmented and processed data should be reassembled, again according to the subscriber’s Service Level Agreement.

This invention discloses methods, systems, and products for providing communications services. One of the embodiments describes a method for providing communications services. This method receives a request for electronic data, and the request for electronic data originating from a client communications device. The availability of network routing is assessed in real-time to fulfill the request. The availability of network bandwidth is assessed in real-time to fulfill the request. A preferred scenario of segmentation, dispersion, and assemblage of electronic data is ascertained to fulfill the request. Electronic data fulfilling the request is communicated to the client communications device, with the electronic data formatted according to the preferred scenario.

Other embodiments of this invention describes another method for providing communications services. Here a first data stream is received at a computer, and the first data stream includes packets of data packetized according to a packet protocol. The first data stream is segmented into segments according to a Service Level Agreement. The Service Level Agreement being an agreement defining parameters for communications service for the subscriber. At least one segment is dispersed via a network for a subsequent processing service. A result of the processing service is received. A second data stream is assembled, and the second data stream includes at least one of i) the result of the processing service and ii) another segment. The second data stream is then communicated via the network.

Other embodiments of this invention describe a system for providing communications services. The system includes a Analysis Module stored in a memory device, and a processor communicates with the memory device. The Analysis Module receives a first data stream at a computer, and the first data stream comprises packets of data packetized according to a packet protocol. The Analysis Module segments the first data stream into segments according to a Service Level Agreement. The Service Level Agreement being an agreement defining parameters for communications service for the subscriber. The Analysis Module disperses at least one segment via a network for a subsequent processing service, and the Analysis Module receives a result of the processing service. The Analysis Module assembles a second data stream, and the second data stream includes at least one of i) the result of the

processing service and ii) another segment. The Analysis Module then communicates the second data stream via the network.

Other embodiments of this invention describe a computer program product. A computer-readable medium stores a Analysis Module. The Analysis Module receives a first data stream at a computer, and the first data stream includes packets of data packetized according to a packet protocol. The Analysis Module segments the first data stream into segments according to a Service Level Agreement. The Service Level Agreement being an agreement defining parameters for communications service for the subscriber. The Analysis Module disperses at least one segment via a network for a subsequent processing service, and the Analysis Module receives a result of the processing service. The Analysis Module then assembles a second data stream comprising at least one of i) the result of the processing service and ii) another segment, and the Analysis Module communicates the second data stream via the network.

Other systems, methods, and/or computer program products according to embodiments will be or become apparent to one with skill in the art upon review of the following drawings and detailed description. It is intended that all such additional systems, methods, and/or computer program products be included within this description, be within the scope of the present invention, and be protected by the accompanying claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWINGS

These and other features, aspects, and advantages of the embodiments of the present invention are better understood when the following Detailed Description of the Invention is read with reference to the accompanying drawings, wherein:

FIG. 1 is a schematic illustrating a Analysis Module operating within an intelligently-controlled communications network, according to one of the embodiments of this invention;

FIGS. 2 and 3 are schematics illustrating the Analysis Module on-demand, dynamically, and in real-time assessing an availability of routing and bandwidth within the communications network, according to more embodiments of this invention;

FIG. 4 is a schematic illustrating ascertainment of a preferred scenario of segmentation, dispersion, and assemblage of electronic data, according to still more embodiments of this invention;

FIG. 5 is a schematic illustrating fulfillment of formatted data according to the preferred scenario determined in FIG. 4;

FIGS. 6-10 are schematics illustrating the Analysis Module accessing a Service Level Agreement according to another of the embodiments of this invention;

FIG. 11 is a schematic illustrating one or more assertions that indicate the requirements of the Service Level Agreement were satisfied according to more embodiments of this invention; and

FIGS. 12-14 are flowcharts illustrating methods of providing communications services according to still more embodiments of this invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

This invention now will be described more fully hereinafter with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which exemplary embodiments are shown. This invention may, however, be embodied in many different forms and should not be construed as limited to the embodiments set forth herein.

These embodiments are provided so that this disclosure will be thorough and complete and will fully convey the scope of the invention to those of ordinary skill in the art. Moreover, all statements herein reciting embodiments of the invention, as well as specific examples thereof, are intended to encompass both structural and functional equivalents thereof. Additionally, it is intended that such equivalents include both currently known equivalents as well as equivalents developed in the future (i.e., any elements developed that perform the same function, regardless of structure).

Thus, for example, it will be appreciated by those of ordinary skill in the art that the diagrams, schematics, illustrations, and the like represent conceptual views or processes illustrating systems and methods embodying this invention. The functions of the various elements shown in the figures may be provided through the use of dedicated hardware as well as hardware capable of executing associated software. Similarly, any switches shown in the figures are conceptual only. Their function may be carried out through the operation of program logic, through dedicated logic, through the interaction of program control and dedicated logic, or even manually, the particular technique being selectable by the entity implementing this invention. Those of ordinary skill in the art further understand that the exemplary hardware, software, processes, methods, and/or operating systems described herein are for illustrative purposes and, thus, are not intended to be limited to any particular named manufacturer.

FIGS. 1-4 are schematics illustrating one of the embodiments of this invention. FIG. 1 shows a Analysis Module 20 operating within an intelligently-controlled communications network 22. This communications network 22 represents just one of the possible operating environments for the Analysis Module 20. The Analysis Module 20 comprises methods, computer systems, computer programs, and computer program products that provide communications services to subscribers. Each time a subscriber requests communications service, the Analysis Module 20 dynamically, and in real-time, assesses the subscriber's communications needs and the real-time state of the communications network 22. The Analysis Module 20 then determines the best or preferred scenario for providing that requested communications service. If the subscriber has a Service Level Agreement, the Analysis Module 20 determines how to utilize the available network routing/bandwidth to satisfy the Service Level Agreement. As this patent will explain, the Analysis Module 20 assesses the highest quality scenario, the most reliable scenario, the most efficient scenario, the most profitable scenario, or, in general terms, the "preferred scenario" for providing the subscriber's requested communications service that also satisfies the Service Level Agreement.

FIG. 1 shows the Analysis Module 20 operating within the communications network 22. The communications network 22 includes a communications switch 24 of a Public Switched Telephone Network 26. The communications switch 24 interfaces with an application server 28. The Analysis Module 20 is stored within the memory of the application server 28. The application server 28 controls many features of the communications switch 24. The application server 28, for example, may include Advanced Intelligent Network (AIN) componentry (not shown for simplicity). The application server 28 additionally or alternatively may include and/or voice service protocols, triggers, and/or operations for a "softswitch" packet-network architecture. The communications switch 24 and the application server 28 interface with the Public Switched Telephone Network 26 and a data network 30. Because the application server 28 communicates with Public Switched Telephone Network 26 and the data network 30, the

5

Analysis Module 20 receives data via either network 26, 30. The signaling between the communications switch 24, the Public Switched Telephone Network 26, the application server 28, and the data network 30, however, is well understood in the art and will not be further described. If a reader desires a more detailed explanation, the reader is directed to U.S. Pat. No. 5,430,719 issued to Weissner (Jul. 4, 1995), with the "Summary of the Invention" and the "Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment" sections incorporated herein by reference. The reader is also directed to U.S. Pat. No. 5,917,817 issued to Dunn et al. (Jun. 29, 1999), with the "Summary of the Invention" and the "Detailed Description" sections incorporated herein by reference.

FIG. 1 also shows the Analysis Module 20 receiving a request 32 for data. The term "data" includes electronic information, such as, for example, facsimile, electronic mail (e-mail), text, video, audio, and/or voice in a variety of formats, such as dual tone multi-frequency, digital, analog, and/or others. Additionally, the data may include: (1) executable programs, such as a software application, (2) an address, location, and/or other identifier of the storage location for the data, (3) integrated or otherwise combined files, and/or (4) profiles associated with configuration, authenticity, security, and others. The request 32 for data originates from a client communications device 34. The client communications device 34 may include any computer/communications device utilizing a microprocessor and/or a digital signal processor. The client communications device 34 may include, for example, a computer (laptop, desktop, tablet, server, and other computer systems), a personal digital assistant (PDA), a Global Positioning System (GPS) device, an interactive television, an Internet Protocol (IP) phone, a pager, and/or a cellular/satellite phone. The client communications device 34 may issue the request 32 for data using the communications switch 24, the Public Switched Telephone Network 26, the application server 28, and/or the data network 30. The request 32 for data is communicated via the communications network 22 (e.g., the communications switch 24, the Public Switched Telephone Network 26, and/or the data network 30) to the Analysis Module 20 operating within the application server 28.

The embodiments of this invention continue with FIG. 2. When the application server 28 receives the request for data (shown as reference numeral 32 in FIG. 1), the Analysis Module 20 analyzes the request for data and determines what data is requested. The Analysis Module 20 then dynamically, and in real-time, assesses an availability of routing within the communications network 22 to fulfill the request for data. The Analysis Module 20 also dynamically, and in real-time, assesses an availability of bandwidth to fulfill the request for data. That is, each time the client communications device (shown as reference numeral 34 in FIG. 1) requests data, the Analysis Module 20 "on demand" determines the available routing and bandwidth. Because computer/servers and network routes have varying packet capacities, and because some computers/servers experience more congestion than others, the Analysis Module 20 tries to determine the best or preferred scenario for fulfilling the request for data.

FIG. 2 shows various commands, queries, tactics, and instructions for assessing the availability of routing and bandwidth. The Analysis Module 20, for example, may "ping" various computer devices communicating with the application server 28. That is, the Analysis Module 20 sends an addressable "ping" packet 36 to other computer devices communicating with the application server 28. The Analysis Module 20 then measures the elapsed travel time for the "ping" packet 36 to return to the application server 28. The

6

Analysis Module 20 uses the elapsed travel time to determine response times, network congestion, and/or bandwidth. Although FIG. 2 shows the Analysis Module 20 utilizing the "ping" packet 36 via the Public Switched Telephone Network 26, the Analysis Module 20 may also utilize the data network 30 to communicate the "ping" packet 36. The Analysis Module 20 may also issue one or more interrogations 38. Each interrogation 38 is addressed to a particular computer device, and each interrogation asks the particular computer device to assess its activity level. The Analysis Module 20 would then receive an interrogation response 40, and the Analysis Module 20 uses the interrogation response 40 to determine response times, network congestion, and/or bandwidth. Although FIG. 2 also shows the Analysis Module 20 utilizing the interrogation 38 via the data network 30, the Analysis Module 20 may also utilize the Public Switched Telephone Network 26 to communicate the interrogation 38.

FIG. 3 shows more commands, queries, tactics, and instructions for assessing the availability of routing and bandwidth. The Analysis Module 20 may utilize UNIX/LINUX "trace" commands 42 or tracing features to monitor packet activity within a computer device communicating with the application server 28. The Analysis Module 20 may also utilize administrative ("Admin") commands 44, instruction commands 46, and/or other UNIX/LINUX commands 48 to assess activity within a computer device, within a network path within the communications network 22, and/or within a series of paths within the communications network 22. Although FIG. 3 shows the Analysis Module 20 utilizing either the Public Switched Telephone Network 26 or the data network 30 to communicate the "trace" command 42, the administrative command 44, the instruction command 46, and/or the other UNIX/LINUX command 48, those of ordinary skill in the art understands that the Analysis Module 20 may use one, or both, networks to communicate each command. FIG. 3 also shows the Analysis Module 20 utilizing a software routine 50 to help assess the availability of routing and bandwidth. The software routine 50 autonomously monitors activity within specified path(s), regions, computer devices, and/or the entire communications network 22. The Analysis Module 20 may also utilize allocation tables and/or routines 52 to help assess the availability of routing and bandwidth. These allocation tables and/or routines 52 would contain relationships, preferences, and/or designations for various network routing paths and/or various computer devices. The allocation tables and/or routines 52 would identify, or even mandate, circumstances when certain routings or certain computer devices are required. If, for example, a subscriber wishes to download a large video file, the allocation tables and/or routines 52 may require that a particular network routing, having capacity to communicate the large video file, be utilized. Although the software routine 50, and the allocation tables and/or routines 52, are shown operating as subroutines/subcomponents of the Analysis Module 20, those of ordinary skill in the art now recognize that each may be remotely installed on any computer device communicating with the application server 28.

Once the Analysis Module 20 assesses the communications network 22, the Analysis Module 20 then determines the best or preferred scenario for fulfilling the request for data. As FIG. 4 shows, the Analysis Module 20 analyzes the request 32 for data, determines what data is requested, and compares the requested data with the on demand, real-time availability of routing and bandwidth within the communications network 22. The Analysis Module 20 then ascertains a preferred scenario of segmentation, dispersion, and assemblage of electronic data to fulfill the request for data. That is, the Analysis

7

Module 20 assesses the highest quality scenario, the most reliable scenario, the most efficient scenario, the most profitable scenario, or, in general terms, the “preferred scenario” for fulfilling the request for data. If, for example, the subscriber requires the highest-possible quality of presentation, the Analysis Module 20 selects a combination of segmentation, dispersion, and assemblage of segments that achieves the highest possible quality of presentation. If, however, the subscriber requires the lowest cost when receiving requested data, the Analysis Module 20 selects a combination of segmentation, dispersion, and assemblage of segments that achieves the lowest possible cost to the subscriber, perhaps despite a degraded quality of transmission (e.g., the subscriber might tolerate “lost” packets of data, delayed delivery of packets, and/or a lesser Quality of Presentation).

As FIG. 4 also shows, the Analysis Module 20 issues one or more reservations 52. Once the Analysis Module 20 assesses the preferred scenario of segmentation, dispersion, and assemblage, the Analysis Module 20 may then “reserve” routing paths and/or computer devices. The Analysis Module 20 issues one or more addressable reservations 52 to help ensure the preferred scenario is accomplished. Each reservation 52 instructs a networked computer device to anticipate, and perhaps only accept, packets of data destined for the reserved paths and/or computer devices. Each reservation 52 may instruct networked computer devices to anticipate, and perhaps only accept, packets having recognized header information and/or packet content. Each reservation 52 may also include a chronology component that specifies a “window” within which the reserved packets must be received, processed, and/or communicated. Packets arriving outside this window may not receive preferential access/routing and would be queued according to more conventional strategies (e.g., sequential, first in-first out, chronological). Because the Analysis Module 20 issues one or more of the addressable reservations 52, the Analysis Module 20 is able to reduce/avoid packet infighting for the “best” path.

Now that the preferred scenario is ascertained, FIG. 5 shows the fulfillment of the request. The Analysis Module 20 has analyzed the request for data (shown as reference numeral 32 in FIG. 1), determined what data is requested, and compared the requested data with the real-time availability of routing and bandwidth within the communications network 22. The Analysis Module 20 then ascertained a preferred scenario of segmentation, dispersion, and assemblage of electronic data to fulfill the request for data. The Analysis Module 20 now communicates to the client communications device 34 the electronic data 54 fulfilling the request. Because the electronic data 54 has been at least one of segmented, dispersed for subsequent processing, and then assembled as a data stream, the electronic data 54 has been formatted according to the preferred scenario.

FIGS. 6-10 are schematics illustrating another of the embodiments of this invention. FIG. 6 shows the Analysis Module 20 accessing a Service Level Agreement 56. The Service Level Agreement 56 is an agreement defining one or more parameters for the communications service(s) provided on behalf of the subscriber. The Service Level Agreement 56 may be an agreement by and between the subscriber and a communications service provider. The Service Level Agreement 56 defines how the subscriber wishes to receive electronic data, in what format that electronic data is delivered, when the electronic data is delivered, and to what device (or along what network path) that electronic data is delivered. The Service Level Agreement 56 might define pricing for various bandwidth needs, network utilization, delivery times, and processing charges. Because Service Level Agreements

8

are, in general, known in the art, and because the content of the Service Level Agreement 56 may greatly vary, this patent will not further explain the Service Level Agreement 56.

FIGS. 6 and 7 also illustrate the Analysis Module 20 accessing the Service Level Agreement 56. FIG. 6 shows that an electronic version of the Service Level Agreement 56 may be stored/maintained in a database 58 of Service Level Agreements. The database 58 of Service Level Agreements stores multiple Service Level Agreements for multiple subscribers; each Service Level Agreement corresponds to at least one subscriber. While the database 58 of Service Level Agreements may be stored/maintained on any computer device communicating with the Public Switched Telephone Network 26 and/or the data network 30, FIG. 6 shows the database 58 of Service Level Agreements stored/maintained within memory of the application server 28. FIG. 7 illustrates that the Service Level Agreement 56 may be locally stored in memory on the client communications device 34. When the Analysis Module 20 accesses the Service Level Agreement 56, the Analysis Module 20 may initiate wired and/or wireless communication with the client communications device 34 via the Public Switched Telephone Network 26 and/or the data network 30.

FIG. 8 shows the Analysis Module 20 assessing the availability of routing and bandwidth. The Analysis Module 20 receives the request 32 for data from the client communications device 34. As this patent earlier explained, the Analysis Module 20 may utilize the “ping” packet 36, the interrogation 38, the UNIX/LINUX “trace” command 42, the administrative (“Admin”) command 44, the instruction command 46, and/or other UNIX/LINUX commands 48 to assess activity within a computer device, within a network path within the communications network 22, and/or within a series of paths within the communications network 22. The Analysis Module 20 may also utilize the software routine 50 and allocation tables and/or routines 52 to help assess the availability of routing and bandwidth.

FIG. 9 shows the Analysis Module 20 formatting data according to the Service Level Agreement 56. The Analysis Module 20 receives a first stream 60 of data via the Public Switched Telephone network 26 and/or via the data network 30. The first stream 60 of data typically includes individual packets 62 of information. The Analysis Module 20 acts upon the first stream 60 of data by segmenting the individual packets 62 into segments 64 according to the Service Level Agreement 56. Once a segment 64 is created, if the segment 64 requires subsequent processing, the Analysis Module 20 then disperses that segment 64 for a subsequent processing service. The Analysis Module 20 routes the segment 64 to other devices and/or locations via the Public Switched Telephone network 26 and/or via the data network 30, and the subsequent processing service is performed. If the Service Level Agreement 56 specifies how the segment 64 should be dispersed, or where that segment 64 should be routed, the Analysis Module 20 routes the segment 64 according to the Service Level Agreement 56.

As FIG. 10 shows, the Analysis Module 20 receives the results 66 of the subsequent processing services. When the subsequent processing service is complete, the Analysis Module 20 aggregates the result(s) 66 of the subsequent processing service(s). The Analysis Module 20 may also access the Service Level Agreement 56 to determine how the subscriber wants the results 66 assembled, formatted, and/or communicated. The Analysis Module 20 then assembles those results 66, and any unprocessed segments 64, into a second data stream 68. As FIG. 10 shows, the second data stream 68 is typically communicated via the Public Switched

Telephone network 26 and/or via the data network 30 to another destination. That is, the second data stream 68 may be communicated to another computer device, and/or the second data stream 68 may be communicated to a client communications device (not shown for simplicity). The client communications device may then view/execute/present the second data stream 68. Because the Analysis Module 20 consulted the Service Level Agreement 56, the second data stream 68 has been substantially formatted, assembled, and communicated to the requirements/desires of the subscriber. That is, the second data stream 68 has been segmented, dispersed, formatted, transformed, encrypted, scaled, compressed, manipulated, assembled, and/or communicated to suit the subscriber's needs, as specified in the Service Level Agreement 56.

FIG. 11 is a schematic illustrating still more embodiments of this invention. FIG. 11 shows one or more assertions 70. These assertions 70 indicate that the requirements of the Service Level Agreement 56 were satisfied. When the Analysis Module 20 segments, disperses, and assembles data, each assertion 70 confirms that the segmentation, dispersion, and assemblage were performed according to the requirements of the Service Level Agreement 56. The assertions 70 may be sent and received amongst "tier one" service providers and lesser tiered service providers. A first tier service provider, for example, may subcontract some aspect of subsequently processing the segment (shown as reference numeral 64 in FIG. 9). When the subcontractor correctly processed the segment according to the requirements of the Service Level Agreement 56, the first tier service provider would then issue the assertion 70. The subcontractor could then utilize the assertion 70 in advertising, in marketing, and in other efforts to show their commitment to service, performance, and customer requirements.

FIG. 11 also shows a certified assertion 72. The certified assertion 72 still confirms that the requirements of the Service Level Agreement 56 were satisfied. The certified assertion 72, however, is encrypted, digitally "watermarked," keyed, or otherwise secured to reduce the incidence of fraudulent assertions. Because the concept of assertions may be important to winning, and maintaining, service contracts, some service providers will inevitably attempt to copy, imitate, or even forge assertions. The certified assertion 72, then, would include security capabilities to reduce, or even prevent, the instances of copied, imitated, or, in general, "forged" assertions. The certified assertion 72 could even be used to confirm the identity of the subscriber and the subscriber's ability to pay (e.g., account balance, payment history, accumulation of assertions).

FIG. 11 also shows a subscriber assertion 74. The subscriber assertion 74 is communicated from the client communications device. The subscriber assertion 74 again confirms that the requirements of the Service Level Agreement 56 were satisfied. Here, however, the subscriber assertion 74 is provided by the subscriber. The subscriber assertion 74 acts as "feedback" from the subscriber and, again, may be used in advertising, in marketing, and in other efforts to show a commitment to service, performance, and customer requirements. As many subscribers provide more and more subscriber assertions 74, the volume of subscriber assertions 74 acts as an indication of trust. The more subscriber assertions 74 a service provider acquires, the more "trusted" is the service provider to satisfy whatever a subscriber's Service Level Agreement may require.

The subscriber may be required to provide the subscriber assertion 74. Because the subscriber assertion 74 may act as "feedback," and thus may be very useful for advertising and

marketing efforts, a service provider may require that all subscribers provide the subscriber assertion 74. If the service provider satisfies the subscriber's Service Level Agreement, the subscriber is required to communicate the subscriber assertion 74. The subscriber may be required to communicate the subscriber assertion 74 to the tier one service provider. The subscriber may also be required to communicate the subscriber assertion 74 to each lesser-tiered service provider. A service provider may even deny service for any subscriber or user that will not provide the subscriber assertion 74. Because the subscriber assertion 74 may even be used to confirm the identity of the subscriber and the subscriber's ability to pay, the service provider may further deny service for any subscriber not providing the subscriber assertion 74. The service provider may refuse to provide service when the service provider cannot confirm the identity of the requester and/or an ability to pay.

FIG. 12 is a flowchart illustrating a method of providing communications services. A request for data is received (Block 76), and the request for data originates from a client communications device. The real-time availability of network routing is assessed to fulfill the request (Block 78). The real-time availability of network bandwidth is also assessed to fulfill the request (Block 80). A preferred scenario of segmentation, dispersion, and assemblage of electronic data is ascertained to fulfill the request (Block 82). A routing identified in the preferred scenario is reserved (Block 84). Electronic data fulfilling the request is then communicated to the client communications device (Block 86), and the electronic data is formatted according to the preferred scenario.

FIG. 13 is a flowchart illustrating another method of providing communications services. A request for electronic data is received (Block 88). The request originates from a client communications device. A query is communicated via a network for a first data stream (Block 90). The first data stream is received at a computer, and the first data stream includes packets of data packetized according to a packet protocol (Block 92). The on-demand, real-time availability of network routing is assessed (Block 94), and the on-demand, real-time availability of network bandwidth is also assessed (Block 96). A preferred scenario of segmentation, dispersion, and assemblage of electronic data is ascertained according to the Service Level Agreement (Block 98). A routing identified in the preferred scenario is reserved (Block 100). The first data stream is then segmented into segments according to the Service Level Agreement (SLA) (Block 102). The Service Level Agreement is an agreement defining parameters for communications service for the subscriber. At least one segment is dispersed via the network for a subsequent processing service, perhaps according to the Service Level Agreement (Block 104).

The flowchart continues with FIG. 14. A result of the processing service is received (Block 106) and assembled as a second data stream, perhaps according to the Service Level Agreement (Block 108). The second data stream includes at least one of i) the result of the processing service and ii) another segment. The second data stream is then communicated via the network (Block 110) to a client communications device, perhaps according to the Service Level Agreement. An assertion may be provided to a communications service provider, and the assertion indicates that the Service Level Agreement was satisfied (Block 112). The assertion may be certified to reduce the incidence of fraudulent assertions (Block 114). The subscriber may also provide the assertion (Block 116).

The Analysis Module (shown as reference numeral 20 in FIGS. 1-11) may be physically embodied on or in a transitory

11

or non-transitory computer-readable medium. This computer-readable medium may include CD-ROM, DVD, tape, cassette, floppy disk, memory card, and large-capacity disk (such as IOMEGA®, ZIP®, JAZZ®, and other large-capacity memory products (IOMEGA®, ZIP®, and JAZZ® are registered trademarks of Iomega Corporation, 1821 W. Iomega Way, Roy, Utah 84067, 801.332.1000, www.iomega.com)). This computer-readable medium, or media, could be distributed to end-users, licensees, and assignees. These types of computer-readable media, and other types not mention here but considered within the scope of the present invention, allow the Analysis Module to be easily disseminated. A computer program product for providing communications services includes the Analysis Module stored on the computer-readable medium. The Analysis Module segments a first data stream into segments according to a Service Level Agreement. The Analysis Module disperses at least one segment via a network for a subsequent processing service, and the Analysis Module receives a result of the processing service. The Analysis Module assembles a second data stream, and the second data stream includes at least one of i) the result of the processing service and ii) another segment. The Analysis Module then communicates the second data stream via the network.

The Analysis Module may also be physically embodied on or in any addressable (e.g., HTTP, I.E.E.E. 802.11, Wireless Application Protocol (WAP)) wireless device capable of presenting an IP address. Examples could include a computer, a wireless personal digital assistant (PDA), an Internet Protocol mobile phone, or a wireless pager.

While the present invention has been described with respect to various features, aspects, and embodiments, those skilled and unskilled in the art will recognize the invention is not so limited. Other variations, modifications, and alternative embodiments may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention.

What is claimed is:

1. A server of a service provider, comprising:
 - a processor; and
 - a memory storing code that when executed causes the processor to perform operations, the operations comprising:
 - receiving electronic packets of data from an interface to a communications network, the electronic packets of data containing a request for communications service sent from a client device, the client device requesting a communications service provided by the service provider;
 - determining that the communications service, provided by the service provider, requires a subcontracted processing service provided by a different subcontractor service provider to fulfill the request for communications service requested by the client device;
 - creating a segment of the electronic packets of data requiring the subcontracted processing service;
 - subcontracting the segment of the electronic packets of data from the server into the communications network for delivery to a network address associated with a subcontractor server associated with the different subcontractor service provider to receive the subcontracted processing service; and
 - receiving, at the server, a result of the subcontracted processing service subcontracted to the different subcontractor service provider.
2. The server of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise storing the result in the memory.
3. The server of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise sending a packetized assertion endorsing the sub-

12

contracted processing service subcontracted to the different subcontractor service provider.

4. The server of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise receiving an assertion sent from the client device, the assertion endorsing the subcontracted processing service subcontracted to the different subcontractor service provider.

5. The server of claim 4, wherein the operations further comprise providing a denial in response to a failure of receipt of the assertion.

6. The server of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise sending the result of the subcontracted processing service to the client device.

7. The server of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise incorporating the result of the subcontracted processing service, subcontracted to the different subcontractor service provider, into the communications service provided by the service provider.

8. The server of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise querying for a data stream to fulfill the request for communications service.

9. The server of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise assessing an availability of the communications network to fulfill the request for communications service.

10. The server of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise sending a reservation to reserve a routing path to the network address associated with the subcontractor server of the different subcontractor service provider.

11. The server of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise retrieving a service level agreement.

12. The server of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise assembling the result with a data stream.

13. The server of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise assembling the result with another segment created from the electronic packets of data.

14. The server of claim 1, wherein the operations further comprise assembling the result with a single electronic packet of data.

15. A method, comprising:

- receiving, at a server from a communications network, electronic packets of data sent from a client device, the electronic packets of data containing a request for communications service provided by a service provider;
- determining, by the server, that the communications service requires a subcontracted processing service provided by a subcontractor service provider;
- grouping, by the server, individual ones of the electronic packets of data requiring the subcontracted processing service into a segment of packets of data;
- subcontracting, by the server, the segment of packets of data into the communications network for delivery to a network address associated with a subcontractor server of the subcontractor service provider to receive the subcontracted processing service; and
- receiving, by the server, a result of the subcontracted processing service subcontracted to the subcontractor service provider.

16. The method of claim 15, further comprising incorporating the result of the subcontracted processing service, subcontracted to the subcontractor service provider, into the communications service provided by the service provider.

17. A computer readable memory storing instructions that when executed cause a processor to perform operations, the operations comprising:

- receiving a request for communications service from an interface to a communications network, the request for

13

communications service sent from a client device, the client device requesting a communications service from a service provider;
retrieving a stream of data to fulfill the request for communications service;
determining that the communications service requires a subcontracted processing service provided by a subcontractor service provider to fulfill the request for communications service;
creating a segment from the stream of data, the segment containing all packets of data that require the subcontracted processing service;
subcontracting the segment into the communications network for delivery to a network address associated with a subcontractor server of the subcontractor service provider to receive the subcontracted processing service;
and

14

receiving a result of the subcontracted processing service subcontracted to the subcontractor service provider.

18. The computer readable memory of claim 17, wherein the operations further comprise reserving a routing path to the network address associated with the subcontractor server of the subcontractor service provider.

19. The computer readable memory of claim 17, wherein the operations further comprise incorporating the result of the subcontracted processing service, subcontracted to the subcontractor service provider, into the communications service provided by the service provider.

20. The computer readable memory of claim 17, wherein the operations further comprise receiving a subcontractor assertion sent from the client device, the subcontractor assertion endorsing the subcontracted processing service subcontracted to the subcontractor service provider.

* * * * *